

## Unit 12: Denoising Diffusion Models

### 12.1 Introduction

Recall that the Langevin diffusion is given by

$$dX_t = \nabla \log \pi(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t,$$

where  $\pi$  is the stationary distribution. Hence, if we simulate the process for a sufficiently long period  $T$ , then  $X_T$  can be thought of as a sample from  $\pi$ . However, determining a sufficiently large value of  $T$  can be quite difficult, and it highly depends on the property of  $\pi$ .

Denoising diffusion models have dynamics similar to the Langevin diffusion. But one specifies the value of  $T$  first and then modifies the drift term according to how much time is left so that  $X_T$  is guaranteed to be distributed according to  $\pi$  (at least approximately). The following theorem gives an example, which is a special case of Theorem 12.2.

**Theorem 12.1.** *Let  $\pi$  be a probability distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying certain regularity conditions. Denote the density function of  $N(0, \sigma^2 I_d)$  by  $\phi_\sigma(x)$ , and denote the convolution of two probability distributions  $\mu, \nu$  by  $\mu * \nu$ . Let  $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  be a diffusion process given by*

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &\sim \pi * \phi_\sigma, \\ dX_t &= \sigma^2 \nabla_x \log h(x, t)dt + \sigma dB_t, \text{ where } h(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \pi(y) \phi_{\sigma\sqrt{1-t}}(x - y)dy. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Then,  $X_1 \sim \pi$ .

**Example 12.1.** Consider part (ii) with  $d = 1$  and  $\pi$  being the standard normal distribution  $N(0, 1)$ . Then,  $X_0 \sim N(0, 1 + \sigma^2)$  and

$$dX_t = A_t X_t dt + \sigma dB_t, \text{ where } A_t = -\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2(1-t) + 1}.$$

Due to the linearity, the solution can be explicitly expressed by

$$X_t = \Phi_t X_0 + \sigma \Phi_t \int_0^t \Phi_s^{-1} dB_s, \text{ where } \Phi_t = e^{\int_0^t A_s ds} = \frac{\sigma^2(1-t) + 1}{\sigma^2 + 1}.$$

By a result known as Itô isometry,

$$\text{Var} \left( \int_0^t \Phi_s^{-1} dB_s \right) = \int_0^t \Phi_s^{-2} ds.$$

This can be used to compute the distribution of  $X_t$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ ; the answer is given in the exercise below. We will see that a similar result holds for any general choice of  $\pi$ .

**Exercise 12.1.** Show that in Example 12.1,  $X_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2(1-t) + 1)$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

In this unit and the next, we will address the following questions.

- (i) How to understand Theorem 12.1, at least intuitively. See Section 12.2.
- (ii) How to utilize the SDE given in (1) to devise sampling algorithms. The function  $h(x, t)$  is an integral with respect to the distribution  $\pi$ . Approximating this integral can be as challenging as sampling from  $\pi$ . See Section 12.3.
- (iii) How to relax the assumption  $X_0 \sim \pi * N(0, \sigma^2 I_d)$ . Exact sampling from  $\pi * N(0, \sigma^2 I_d)$  does not seem easier than sampling from  $\pi$ . See the next unit.

Before proceeding, an important remark on the background is needed. So far in this course, we have considered sampling problems where  $\pi$  is typically known up to a normalizing constant or at least has an explicit expression. Diffusion models like (1) are widely used in *generative modeling*, where the problem setup is quite different:  $\pi$  is completely unknown, but we have samples drawn from  $\pi$ . In theory, one can use these samples to first estimate  $\pi$  and then apply the sampling algorithms we have discussed. However, as we will see, a better approach is to directly estimate  $\nabla_x \log h(x, t)$  using the samples without learning  $\pi$  and then simulate the SDE (1).

## 12.2 Reverse-time SDE

Given a diffusion process  $Y_t$ , if we observe the process backward in time, can its dynamics still be described by a SDE? The following result of [1] provides an answer.

**Theorem 12.2.** *Let  $(Y_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  be a diffusion over the time interval  $[0, T]$ , evolving by*

$$dY_t = b(Y_t, t)dt + \sigma(Y_t, t)dB_t,$$

where  $b, \sigma$  are continuously differentiable. Let  $a = \sigma\sigma^\top$  and denote the Lebesgue density of the distribution of  $Y_t$  by  $p_t(y)$  (assumed to exist).<sup>1</sup> Under certain regularity conditions, the time-reversed process,  $(Y_{T-t})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  has the same distribution as the diffusion process  $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &\sim p_T, \\ dX_t &= -b^*(X_t, T-t)dt + \sigma(X_t, T-t)dB_t, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b^*(x, t) &= b(x, t) - \nabla_x \cdot a(x, t) - a(x, t)\nabla_x \log p_t(x), \\ \text{i.e., } b_i^*(x, t) &= b_i(x, t) - \frac{1}{p_t(x)} \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \{p_t(x)\sigma_{ik}(x, t)\sigma_{jk}(x, t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>By a slight abuse of notation, we will also use  $p_t$  to denote the distribution of  $Y_t$ .

**Example 12.2.** Suppose  $\sigma(x, t) = \sigma_t I$  for some  $\sigma_t > 0$ . Then the SDE (2) is simplified to

$$dX_t = [-b(X_t, T-t) + \sigma_t^2 \nabla_x \log p_{T-t}(X_t)] dt + \sigma_t dB_t, \quad (3)$$

which has been widely used in the literature on denoising diffusion probabilistic models [2, 4].

If we further assume  $T = 1$ ,  $b \equiv 0$  and  $\sigma_t \equiv \sigma$ , we have

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \sigma B_t,$$

and we obtain Theorem 12.1. Note that  $p_0$  is also the distribution of  $X_1$  (i.e., the distribution  $\pi$  in Theorem 12.1), and the distribution of  $X_t$  is  $p_{1-t}$ , the convolution of  $p_0$  and  $N(0, \sigma^2(1-t)I)$ , which has density  $h(x, t)$  as given in (1). This generalizes the claim in Exercise 12.1.

**Example 12.3.** Suppose that  $Y_t$  is a Langevin diffusion with dynamics  $dY_t = \nabla \log \pi(Y_t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t$ . Let  $Y_0 \sim \pi$ , which implies  $Y_t \sim \pi$  for every  $t$ . One can check that in this case, the time-reversed process  $X_t$  follows exactly the same SDE, which is expected since Langevin diffusions are reversible.

**Remark 12.1.** The proof of Theorem 12.2 requires Kolmogorov forward/backward equations, and we refer readers to [1] for details. Here we provide a heuristic argument to offer some insights into Theorem 12.2. We consider the special case  $d = 1$ ,  $b(x, t) = b(x)$  and  $\sigma(x, t) = \sigma > 0$ . Assume that  $b(x)$ ,  $\partial b(x)/\partial x$ ,  $\partial p_t(x)/\partial t$  and  $\partial^2 p_t(x)/\partial x^2$  all exist and are bounded over all  $(x, t)$ .

As we have argued in Remark 10.2, for sufficiently regular function  $f$ ,

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[f(Y_{t+h}) | Y_t = y] - f(y)}{h} = b(y)f'(y) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 f''(y). \quad (4)$$

Hence, if  $X_t = Y_{T-t}$  has the dynamics given in (3), it should satisfy that

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[f(Y_{t-h}) | Y_t = x] - f(x)}{h} = \{-b(x) + \sigma^2 \nabla_x \log p_t(x)\} f'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 f''(x). \quad (5)$$

We now show that (4) indeed implies (5). As in Remark 10.2, we use the second-order Taylor expansion of  $f$ , and our main task is to determine

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_{t-h} - Y_t | Y_t = x]}{h}, \text{ and } \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[(Y_{t-h} - Y_t)^2 | Y_t = x]}{h}.$$

The key distinction from the forward-time analysis is that  $Y_{t-h} - Y_t$  and  $Y_t$  are dependent. Indeed, if we approximate the conditional distribution of  $Y_t$  given  $Y_{t-h}$  using Euler-Maruyama discretization, i.e.,

$$Y_t | Y_{t-h} = y \sim N(y + hb(y), h\sigma^2)$$

then the conditional density of  $Y_{t-h} = y$  given  $Y_t = x$  is

$$p_{t-h|t}(y | x) = \frac{p_{t-h}(y)}{p_t(x)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi h\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - y - hb(y))^2}{2h\sigma^2}\right\}. \quad (6)$$

By Taylor expansion and our assumption on the derivatives of  $p_t(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\log p_{t-h}(y) - \log p_t(x) &= \log p_{t-h}(y) - \log p_t(y) + \log p_t(y) - \log p_t(x) \\ &= (y-x)\nabla_x \log p_t(x) + O(h) + O((y-x)^2).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have  $b(y) = b(x) + O(|y-x|)$ . Plugging these approximations into (6), we get

$$p_{t-h|t}(y|x) \propto \exp \left[ -\frac{1+O(h)}{2h\sigma^2} \{y-x + hb(x) - h\sigma^2\nabla_x \log p_t(x)\}^2 + O(h) \right].$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_{t-h} - Y_t | Y_t = x]}{h} &= -b(x) + \sigma^2\nabla_x \log p_t(x), \\ \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[(Y_{t-h} - Y_t)^2 | Y_t = x]}{h} &= \sigma^2.\end{aligned}$$

### 12.3 Generative Modeling and Score Matching

Consider a general modeling problem where we have access to samples from an unknown distribution  $\pi$ . In this section, we explain how to use Theorem 12.1 to build algorithms that can output new samples from  $\pi$ . As we have discussed in Section 12.1, the initial condition in Theorem 12.1 is difficult to simulate exactly. So we simply assume that  $\sigma$  is sufficiently large so that  $X_0$  approximately follows the normal distribution  $N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ . To simulate the SDE given in (1),

$$dX_t = \sigma^2\nabla_x \log h(x, t)dt + \sigma dB_t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

we use the so-called ‘‘score matching’’ technique [3, 5], which we present in Theorem 12.3 below. It allows us to directly estimate  $\nabla_x \log h(x, t)$  without learning  $\pi$ .

Let  $s(x, t)$  be an estimator for the score  $\nabla_x \log h(x, t)$  parameterized by  $\theta$  (e.g.,  $s$  can be a neural network model with parameter vector  $\theta$ ). We can train this estimator (i.e., learn the value of  $\theta$ ) by minimizing some loss function. The question is how to define the loss function. Let’s first fix an arbitrary  $t \in [0, 1]$  and consider measuring the loss at time  $t$ . As explained in Example 12.2, the joint distribution of  $(X_t, X_1)$  can be described by

$$X_1 \sim \pi, \quad X_t | X_1 \sim N(X_1, \sigma^2(1-t)I), \tag{7}$$

and the marginal distribution of  $X_t$  has density

$$h(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \pi(y) \phi_{\sigma\sqrt{1-t}}(x-y) dy.$$

So we measure the loss (at time  $t$ ) of the estimator  $s(x, t)$  by

$$J_t(s) = \mathbb{E} \|s(X_t, t) - \nabla_x \log h(X_t, t)\|_2^2 = \int \|s(x, t) - \nabla_x \log h(x, t)\|_2^2 h(x, t) dx.$$

By Theorem 12.3, instead of matching the marginal score  $\nabla_x \log h(X_t, t)$ , we can also match the score  $\nabla_{x_t} \log q(X_t | X_1)$  by conditioning on  $X_1$ , where  $q(X_t | X_1)$  denotes the conditional density given in (7). Explicitly,

$$J_t(s) = \mathbb{E} \|s(X_t, t) + \sigma^{-2}(1-t)^{-1}(X_t - X_1)\|_2^2 + C$$

where  $C$  is a constant that does not depend on  $s$ , and the expectation is taken over the joint distribution given in (7). Estimating this loss is straightforward since we have samples from  $\pi$ ; denote them by  $X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, \dots, X_{1,n}$ . By generating i.i.d.  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  from  $N(0, I_d)$ , we get the empirical loss

$$L_t(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|s(X_{1,i} + \eta_t Z_i, t) + \eta_t^{-1} Z_i\|_2^2, \text{ where } \eta_t = \sqrt{\sigma^2(1-t)},$$

which measures how well  $s(x, t)$  approximates  $\nabla_x \log h(x, t)$  at time  $t$ . To measure the performance of  $s(x, t)$  across the time interval  $[0, 1]$ , we can sample  $t_1, \dots, t_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  and define the overall empirical loss by

$$L(s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w(t_i) \|s(X_{1,i} + \eta_i Z_i, t_i) + \eta_i^{-1} Z_i\|_2^2, \text{ where } \eta_i = \sqrt{\sigma^2(1-t_i)},$$

and  $w: [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a weighting function chosen by the user.

**Theorem 12.3.** *Let  $(X, Y)$  be random vectors with joint Lebesgue density function  $q_{X,Y}(x, y)$ . Denote the marginal densities by  $q_X(x), q_Y(y)$ , and denote the conditional density functions by  $q_{X|Y}(x | y), q_{Y|X}(y | x)$ . Assume that  $q_{X,Y}$  is sufficiently regular so that for any  $y$ ,*<sup>2</sup>

$$\int q_{X|Y}(x | y) \nabla_y \log q_{X|Y}(x | y) dx = 0 \tag{8}$$

Then, for any function  $s(y)$ ,

$$\int \|s(y) - \nabla \log q_Y(y)\|_2^2 q_Y(y) dy = \int \|s(y) - \nabla_y \log q_{Y|X}(y | x)\|_2^2 q_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy + C$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $s$ .

*Proof.* By Fisher's identity which is given in Exercise (12.2) below,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \{s(y)^\top \nabla \log q_Y(y)\} q_Y(y) dy &= \int \left\{ s(y)^\top \int q_{X|Y}(x | y) \nabla_y \log q_{Y|X}(y | x) dx \right\} q_Y(y) dy \\ &= \int \{s(y)^\top \nabla_y \log q_{Y|X}(y | x)\} q_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>All we need is that differentiation and integration can be interchanged in (8).

At the same time,

$$\int \|s(y)\|_2^2 q_Y(y) dy = \int \|s(y)\|_2^2 q_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E} \|s(Y) - \nabla \log q_Y(Y)\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E} [\|s(Y)\|_2^2 - 2s(Y)^\top \nabla_y \log q_{Y|X}(Y | X)] + C',$$

where  $C'$  is some constant independent of  $s$ . A simple calculation completes the proof.  $\square$

**Exercise 12.2.** Prove that (8) implies

$$\int q_{X|Y}(x | y) \nabla_y \log q_{Y|X}(y | x) dx = \nabla \log q_Y(y).$$

## References

- [1] Brian DO Anderson. Reverse-time diffusion equation models. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 12(3):313–326, 1982.
- [2] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- [3] Aapo Hyvärinen. Estimation of non-normalized statistical models by score matching. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6(4):695–709, 2005.
- [4] Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 11895–11907, 2019.
- [5] Pascal Vincent. A connection between score matching and denoising autoencoders. *Neural Computation*, 23(7):1661–1674, 2011.