

# Lecture 19

Instructor: Quan Zhou

For more details about the materials covered in this note, see Chapters 9.2 to 9.6 of Resnick [2] and Chapter 3.3 of Durrett [1].

## 19.1 Properties of the function $e^{ix}$

We use  $i$  to denote the imaginary unit.

**Theorem 19.1** (Euler's formula). *For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ .*

*Proof.* One way to prove the formula is to use Taylor expansion. □

**Theorem 19.2** (Taylor expansion of  $e^{ix}$ ). *For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\left| e^{ix} - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(ix)^k}{k!} \right| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|x|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}, \frac{2|x|^n}{n!} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* See the textbook. □

**Remark 19.1.** Assume the moment generating function of  $|X|$  is finite in a neighborhood of 0, i.e. for some  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$E[e^{t|X|}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n E|X|^n}{n!} < \infty, \quad \forall t \in (-\delta, \delta).$$

This implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t^n E|X|^n / n! = 0$  for each  $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ . By Theorem 19.2 and Jensen's inequality,

$$\left| E[e^{itX}] - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(it)^k}{k!} E[X^k] \right| \leq \frac{2t^n E|X|^n}{n!}.$$

The right-hand side converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . That is,

$$E[e^{itX}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^k}{k!} E[X^k], \quad \forall t \in (-\delta, \delta).$$

## 19.2 Basic properties of characteristic functions

**Definition 19.1.** The characteristic function of a random variable  $X$  is

$$\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Example 19.1.** Let  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Using contour integral, we can compute

$$\phi_X(t) = \exp\left(i\mu t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2\right).$$

There are other ways to show this. For example, Resnick uses Taylor expansion and the MGF while Durrett uses an ordinary differential equation.

**Proposition 19.1** (Properties of characteristic functions). *For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ :*

- (i)  $\phi_X(t) = E[\cos(tX)] + i E[\sin(tX)]$ ;
- (ii)  $|\phi_X(t)| \leq 1$  and in particular  $\phi_X(0) = 1$ ,<sup>1</sup>
- (iii)  $\phi_X(-t) = \bar{\phi}_X(t)$  where  $\bar{\phi}$  denotes the complex conjugate.
- (iv)  $\phi_X(t)$  is uniformly continuous in  $t$ .

*Proof.* Part (i) and (iii) follow from Theorem 19.1. For part (ii), note that  $g(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$  is a convex function. Thus, by Jensen's inequality,

$$|\phi_X(t)| \leq E|e^{itX}| = 1.$$

For part (iv), by Jensen's inequality and the convexity of the modulus,

$$|\phi_X(t+h) - \phi_X(t)| \leq E|e^{i(t+h)X} - e^{itX}| = E|e^{ihX} - 1|$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that  $|z_1 z_2| = |z_1| |z_2|$  for any complex numbers  $z_1, z_2$ . By the bounded convergence theorem,  $E|e^{ihX} - 1| \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . Since this convergence does not depend on  $t$ , we obtain the uniform continuity of  $\phi_X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 19.2.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. with characteristic function  $\phi_X$ . Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i X_i + b_i)$ . Then, letting  $c_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$ , we have*

$$\phi_{S_n}(t) = e^{itc_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \phi_X(a_i t).$$

<sup>1</sup>Here  $|\cdot|$  denotes the modulus of a complex number:  $|a + bi| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ .

*Proof.* Try it yourself. □

**Proposition 19.3.** *If  $E|X|^k < \infty$ , then  $\phi_X^{(k)}(0) = i^k E[X^k]$ , where  $\phi_X^{(k)}$  denotes the  $k$ -th derivative of  $\phi_X$ .*

*Proof.* This can be proven by induction. Let's first show that if  $E|X| < \infty$ ,

$$\phi_X'(t) = E[iXe^{itX}], \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider the “error”

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi_X(t+h) - \phi_X(t)}{h} - E[iXe^{itX}] &= E\left(\frac{e^{i(t+h)X} - e^{itX} - ihXe^{itX}}{h}\right) \\ &= E\left(e^{itX} \frac{e^{ihX} - 1 - ihX}{h}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that the above expression goes to zero as  $h \downarrow 0$ . By Theorem 19.2, we have (both bounds on the right-hand side are useful!)

$$\left| \frac{e^{ihX} - 1 - ihX}{h} \right| \leq \min \left\{ 2|X|, \frac{h|X|^2}{2} \right\}.$$

Since  $E|X| < \infty$  and  $|e^{itX}| = 1$ , by DCT,

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} E\left(e^{itX} \frac{e^{ihX} - 1 - ihX}{h}\right) = E\left(e^{itX} \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{ihX} - 1 - ihX}{h}\right) = 0.$$

Now let's assume that

$$\text{if } E|X|^k < \infty, \quad \text{then } \phi_X^{(k)}(t) = E[(iX)^k e^{itX}].$$

Consider

$$\frac{\phi_X^{(k)}(t+h) - \phi_X^{(k)}(t)}{h} - E[(iX)^{k+1} e^{itX}] = E\left(e^{itX} (iX)^k \frac{e^{ihX} - 1 - ihX}{h}\right).$$

Apply the same argument to obtain that

$$\left| (iX)^k \frac{e^{ihX} - 1 - ihX}{h} \right| \leq \min \left\{ 2|X|^{k+1}, \frac{h|X|^{k+2}}{2} \right\}.$$

Hence, for every fixed  $X = x$ , the left-hand side goes to zero as  $h \downarrow 0$ . If  $E|X|^{k+1} < \infty$ , one can apply DCT to conclude that

$$\phi_X^{(k+1)} = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\phi_X^{(k)}(t+h) - \phi_X^{(k)}(t)}{h} = E[(iX)^{k+1} e^{itX}].$$

Letting  $t = 0$ , we obtain the asserted formula. □

**Example 19.2.** Let  $X$  be a continuous random variable with density  $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|>2\}}c/(x^2 \log|x|)$  where  $c$  is some normalization constant. The expectation does not exist since  $\int_2^\infty 1/(x \log x)dx = \infty$ . But it can be proven that  $\phi'_X(0)$  exists and is equal to zero.

### 19.3 Uniqueness and continuity of characteristic functions

**Theorem 19.3** (Inversion formula). *Let  $\phi(t) = \int e^{itx}\mu(dx)$  be the characteristic function for some distribution  $\mu$ . For any  $a < b$ ,*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \phi(t) dt = \mu((a, b)) + \frac{1}{2} \mu(\{a, b\}).$$

*Proof.* Define

$$I_T = \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \phi(t) dt = \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \left( \int e^{itx} \mu(dx) \right) dt.$$

Note that  $(e^{-ita} - e^{-itb})/(it) = \int_a^b e^{-ity} dy$  and thus

$$\left| \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \phi(t) \right| = \left| \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \right| = \left| \int_a^b e^{-ity} dy \right| \leq \int_a^b |e^{-ity}| dy = b - a.$$

Hence, we can apply Fubini's theorem to get

$$I_T = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{it(x-a)} - e^{it(x-b)}}{it} dt \right\} \mu(dx).$$

Applying Euler's formula and noting that  $\cos$  is an even function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_T &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \int_{-T}^T \frac{\sin[t(x-a)] - \sin[t(x-b)]}{t} dt \right\} \mu(dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{R(x-a, T) - R(x-b, T)\} \mu(dx), \end{aligned}$$

where we let

$$R(\theta, T) = \int_{-T}^T t^{-1} \sin(\theta t) dt = \int_{-\theta T}^{\theta T} u^{-1} \sin u du.$$

Define  $h(u) = u^{-1} \sin u$ . Note that  $|h(u)| \leq 1$ , for any  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Further, by Lemma 19.1 below,  $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-M}^M h(u) du = \pi$ . Therefore,  $\sup_{\theta} R(\theta, T) = C < \infty$  for some constant  $C$ . By bounded convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} I_T &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} [R(x-a, T) - R(x-b, T)] \mu(dx) \\ &= 2\pi \mu((a, b)) + \pi \mu(\{a, b\}) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} R(\theta, T) = \begin{cases} \pi & \theta > 0 \\ 0 & \theta = 0 \\ -\pi & \theta < 0, \end{cases}$$

which again follows from Lemma 19.1. □

**Lemma 19.1.** *The improper Riemann integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^{-1} \sin u du = \pi$ .*

*Proof.* We omit the proof here. This integral is known as Dirichlet integral. The corresponding Lebesgue integral is not defined. □

**Corollary 19.1.**  $\mu(\{a\}) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T e^{-ita} \phi(t) dt$ .

*Proof.* Try it yourself. □

**Corollary 19.2.** *If  $\int |\phi(t)| dt < \infty$ , then  $\mu$  has a bounded and continuous density function given by*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{-itx} \phi(t) dt.$$

*Proof.* In the proof of Theorem 19.3, we have shown that for  $b > a$ ,

$$\left| \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \phi(t) \right| \leq (b-a) |\phi(t)|.$$

Since  $\int |\phi(t)| dt < \infty$ , by the inversion formula,

$$\mu((a, b)) + \frac{1}{2} \mu(\{a, b\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \phi(t) dt \leq \frac{b-a}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(t)| dt < \infty.$$

Letting  $b \downarrow a$ , we get  $\mu(\{a\}) \leq \mu(\{a, b\}) \leq 0$  and thus  $\mu \ll m$  ( $m$  denotes the Lebesgue measure.) Applying Fubini's theorem, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu((a, b)) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_a^b e^{-ity} dy \phi(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^b \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ity} \phi(t) dt \right\} dy.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f(y) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ity} \phi(t) dt$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative,<sup>2</sup> which is essentially unique (i.e. unique up to a Lebesgue-null set). By the assumption  $\int \phi(t) dt < \infty$ ,  $f$  is bounded; further, it is continuous due to DCT.  $\square$

**Example 19.3.** Let  $X$  be a Cauchy random variable with density  $f(x) = \pi^{-1}(1+x^2)^{-1}$ . Direct calculation of its characteristic function seems difficult. However, for another random variable  $Y$  with density  $f(y) = e^{-|y|}/2$ , it is easy to compute  $\phi_Y(t) = (1+t^2)^{-1}$ . By the inversion formula, this implies

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{-|y|} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{1}{1+t^2} e^{-ity} dt.$$

Hence,

$$\phi_X(t) = \int \frac{e^{-itx}}{\pi(1+x^2)} dx = e^{-|t|}.$$

**Theorem 19.4** (Uniqueness theorem). *The characteristic function uniquely determines the probability distribution.*

*Proof.* By the inversion formula, the characteristic function uniquely determines the value of  $\mu((a, b))$  for any  $a < b$ . By Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem, this means  $\mu(B)$  is uniquely defined for any Borel set  $B$ .  $\square$

**Example 19.4.** Consider a continuous random variable  $X$  with density

$$f(x) = \frac{1 - \cos x}{\pi x^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider another discrete random variable  $Y$  with

$$P(Y = 0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad P(Y = (2k - 1)\pi) = \frac{2}{(2k - 1)^2 \pi^2}, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

---

<sup>2</sup>One can invoke  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem to show that  $\mu(B) = \int_B f(y) dy$  for any Borel set  $B$ .

Then, the characteristic functions of  $X$  and  $Y$  are

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_X(t) &= (1 - |t|) \mathbb{1}_{\{|t| \leq 1\}}, \\ \phi_Y(t) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos\{(2k-1)\pi t\}}{(2k-1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Surprisingly, for any  $t \in [-1, 1]$ , we have  $\phi_X(t) = \phi_Y(t)$ .

**Theorem 19.5** (Continuity theorem). *Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be probability distributions with characteristic function  $\{\phi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ .*

- (i) *If  $\mu_n$  converges weakly to some distribution  $\mu$ , then  $\phi_n(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$  for every  $t$  where  $\phi$  is the characteristic function of  $\mu$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\phi_n(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$  for every  $t$  and  $\phi$  is a function continuous at 0, then  $\mu_n$  converges weakly to some distribution  $\mu$  with characteristic function  $\phi$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $e^{itx}$  is a bounded and continuous function for every  $t$ , by Theorem 18.2, we immediately obtain part (i). The proof of part (ii) consists of two steps.

*Step 1.* We prove  $\{\mu_n\}$  is tight, i.e. for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $C, N < \infty$  such that  $\mu_n(\{x : |x| > C\}) \leq \epsilon$  for every  $n \geq N$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed. Since  $\phi(0)$  is always 1 and  $\phi$  is assumed to be continuous at zero, there exists  $\delta$  such that  $|1 - \phi(t)| < \epsilon/2$  for any  $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ . Since  $\phi_n(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$ , by BCT, there exists  $N$  such that for any  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} (1 - \phi_n(t)) dt - \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} (1 - \phi(t)) dt \right| < \epsilon,$$

which further yields that

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} (1 - \phi_n(t)) dt < 2\epsilon.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} (1 - \phi_n(t)) dt &= 2 - \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \left\{ \int e^{itx} \mu_n(dx) \right\} dt \\
&= 2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{e^{itx}}{\delta} dt \right\} \mu_n(dx) && \text{(by Fubini's theorem)} \\
&= 2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2 \sin(\delta x)}{\delta x} \mu_n(dx) && \text{(by Euler's formula)} \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\sin(\delta x)}{\delta x} \right\} \mu_n(dx) \\
&\geq 2 \int_{|x| > 2/\delta} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\sin(\delta x)}{\delta x} \right\} \mu_n(dx) \\
&\geq 2 \int_{|x| > 2/\delta} \left\{ 1 - \frac{|\sin(\delta x)|}{|\delta x|} \right\} \mu_n(dx) \\
&\geq \int_{|x| > 2/\delta} \mu_n(dx) = \mu_n(\{x : |x| > 2/\delta\}).
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing  $C = 2/\delta$ , we conclude that  $\{\mu_n\}$  is tight.

*Step 2.* By Helly's selection theorem and the tightness of  $\{\mu_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  such that  $\mu_{n_k}$  converges weakly to some distribution  $\mu$ . By part (i), the characteristic function of  $\mu$  is  $\phi$ .

Now we prove that  $\mu_n$  converges to  $\mu$  by contradiction. Let  $F_n$  and  $F$  be the distribution functions of  $\mu_n$  and  $\mu$ , respectively. If we don't have the asserted weak convergence, there exists some point  $x$ , which is a continuous point of  $F$ , such that  $F_n(x)$  does not converge to  $F(x)$ ; that is, there exists some  $\eta > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{F_{m_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  such that  $|F_{m_k}(x) - F(x)| \geq \eta$ . But by Helly's selection theorem and the tightness of  $\{F_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence of  $\{F_{m_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ , say  $\{F_{m_k(j)}\}_{j \geq 1}$ , that converges to a proper distribution function, say  $F'$ . Let  $\phi'$  be its characteristic function. However, the convergence of  $\phi_n$  implies that  $\phi'(t) = \phi(t)$  for every  $t$ , and by the uniqueness theorem we have  $F' = F$ , which gives the contradiction.  $\square$

**Example 19.5.** Let  $\mu_n$  be a normal distribution with mean zero and variance  $n$ , and thus  $\phi_n(t) = e^{-nt^2/2}$ . Clearly, for every  $t \neq 0$ ,  $\phi_n(t) \rightarrow 0$ , i.e. the limit  $\phi$  is not continuous at zero. The sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  does not converge weakly since  $\mu_n((-\infty, x]) \rightarrow 1/2$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .



## References

- [1] Rick Durrett. *Probability: Theory and Examples*, volume 49. Cambridge university press, 2019.
- [2] Sidney Resnick. *A Probability Path*. Springer, 2019.
- [3] Jordan M Stoyanov. *Counterexamples in probability*. Courier Corporation, 2013.